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#### Abstract

This paper presents the free vibration analysis of isotropic thick rectangular plates, based on higher order shear deformation theory (HSDT). The plate theory ensures a zero shear-stress condition at the top and bottom surfaces of the plate, and do not requires a shear correction factor. The model requires inter-element C1 continuity for the transverse displacement. To overcome this hindrance, a new hierarchical p-element with six degrees of freedom per node is developed and used to find natural frequencies of thick plates. Convergence studies and comparison have been carried out for with different boundaries conditions. It is shown that the present element enables rapid convergence.
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## 1. Introduction

Thick plates are extensively used in many fields of engineering, including aerospace, civil structures, hydraulic structures, etc. For plates analysis different theories exists, the classical plates theory (CPT) is adopted for thin plates, where the effect of shear deformation is neglected [1]. The Reissner. Mindlin plate theory is used for moderately thick plates, known as the first order shear deformation theory (FSDT), in which the effect of shear deformation is considered by using a proper choice of a shear correction factor which depend on loading and boundary conditions [2]. The simplifying assumptions made in CPT and FSDT are reflected by the high percentage errors in the results of thick plates analysis. For these plates, higher-order shear deformation theories (HSDT) are required. The HSDT ensure a zero shear-stress condition on the top and bottom surfaces of the plate, and do not require a shear correction factor, which is a major feature of these theories.

[^0]Nelson and Lorch [3], the authors in [4] presented a HSDT for laminated plates however the displacement field does satisfy the shear-stress free condition on the top and bottom surfaces of the plate. Lewinson [5], Murthy [6], and Reddy [7] presented a new higher order shear deformation theories considered as an extension of hencky's theory, which include a realistic displacement field satisfying the conditions of zero transverse shearstress and/or strains, known as Reddy's third-order theory. This model requires C1 inter element continuity requirement. Phan and Reddy developed a non conforming rectangular element with seven degrees of freedom per node, based on C1 Reddy's third order theory to analyze laminated composites plates. Kant and his colleagues [8] investigate the free and transient vibration analysis of composites and sandwich plates based on a refined theory by using the finite element method and analytical solution. The authors in [9,10] investigate the free vibration and transient response of composite sandwich plates by using two C0 assumed strain finite element based on Reddy's third-order theory. Sheikh and Chakrabarti [11] used a triangular element based on Reddy's higher order shear deformation plate theory. Batra and his colleagues . [12] used a HSDT and the finite element method to analyze free vibrations and stress distribution in tick isotropic plate. Kulkarni and Kapuria [13] used a discrete Kirchoff quadrilateral element based on the third order theory for composite plates.

Because Reddy's third-order theory requires inter element C1 continuity on the transverse displacement. The conclusion can be made from the literature review, that a very few conforming element based on this plate theory are developed. To overcome this hindrance, the hierarchical finite element method can be used. In the hierarchical finite element method the mesh keeps unchanged and the polynomial degree of the shape functions is increased. See for instance Szabo and Sahrmann [14], Szabo and Babuska [15] and Hamza-Cherif [16].

In this paper we address these above-mentioned points. The new approach with hierarchical finite element method is formulated for thick plates vibration analysis. A new hierarchical p-element with six degrees of freedom per node is developed, based on the C1 higher order shear deformation theory. The continuity along the inter-element boundary is not required in the model. To demonstrate the convergence and accuracy of the proposed method, present results are compared with existing data available from other analytical and numerical methods. Then, natural frequencies of rectangular plates under different boundary conditions are tabulated for a wide range of aspect ratios and thickness to length ratios.

## 2. Formulation

### 1.1. Energy formulation

Consider an homogeneous, isotropic, thick plate bounded by $0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{a}, 0 \leq \mathrm{y} \leq \mathrm{b}$, and $-\mathrm{h} / 2 \leq \mathrm{z} \leq \mathrm{h} / 2$, as show in Fig. 1.

The displacement of the plate are decomposed into three orthogonal components, $u, v$ and $w$ parallel to the $x$ axis ,y-axis and z-axis, respectively.

In accordance with the higher-order shear deformable theory [10,11], the displacements can be expressed as
$u=z \theta_{x}-f(z)\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\theta_{x}\right)$
$v=z \theta_{y}-f(z)\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\theta_{y}\right)$
$w=w_{0}$

In which $\quad f(z)=\frac{4 z^{3}}{3 h^{2}}$

Where $w_{0}$ is the transverse displacement of middle plate components and $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ are the rotations of the normal to the middle plane about the x -axis and y -axis respectively.

The linear strain-displacement relationships.

$$
\{\varepsilon\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x x}  \tag{3}\\
\varepsilon_{y y} \\
\gamma_{y z} \\
\gamma_{x z} \\
\gamma_{x y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\theta_{y} \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\theta_{x} \\
0
\end{array}\right\}+z\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}-\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}+\theta_{y} \\
\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}+\theta_{x} \\
0
\end{array}\right\}-f(z)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial x y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The constitutive equations for linear elastic isotropic material are
$\{\sigma\}=[C]\{\varepsilon\}$

In the case of plane stress the stress vector can be written as
$\{\sigma\}=\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}\sigma_{\mathrm{xx}} & \sigma_{\mathrm{xx}} & \tau_{\mathrm{yz}} & \tau_{\mathrm{xz}} & \tau_{\mathrm{xy}}\end{array}\right\}$

Where
$[C]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}\frac{\mathrm{E}}{1-v^{2}} & \frac{v \mathrm{E}}{1-v^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{v \mathrm{E}}{1-v^{2}} & \frac{\mathrm{E}}{1-v^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\mathrm{E}}{2(1+v)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\mathrm{E}}{2(1+v)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\mathrm{E}}{2(1+v)}\end{array}\right]$

Here $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ are the material property coefficients, in which E , and v are the Young's modulus and, Poisson's ratio, respectively.

The kinetic energy of a bending vibrating thick plate is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E c=\frac{1}{2} a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} & {\left[\frac{1}{a^{2}} \rho_{D}\left(\frac{\partial \dot{w}_{0}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{a} \rho_{D} \frac{\partial \dot{w}_{0}}{\partial \xi} \dot{\theta}_{x}+\rho_{F} \dot{\theta}_{x}^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{a^{2}} \rho_{D}\left(\frac{\partial \dot{w}_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{a} \rho_{D} \frac{\partial \dot{w}_{0}}{\partial \eta} \dot{\theta}_{y}+\rho_{F} \dot{\theta}_{y}^{2}+\rho_{A} \dot{w}_{0}^{2}\right] d \xi d \eta \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\rho$ is the mass density per unit volume.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{A}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \rho d z, \quad \rho_{D}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \rho f(z)^{2} d z, \quad \rho_{F}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \rho\left(f(z)^{2}-2 z f(z)+z^{2}\right) d z \tag{8,9,10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strain energy of a thick plate is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E d=\frac{1}{2} a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{D_{11}}{a^{4}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{D_{11}}{b^{4}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 E_{11}}{a^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi}\right)+\frac{2 E_{11}}{a^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)\right. \\
&+\frac{F_{11}}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2}+\frac{F_{11}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 D_{12}}{a^{2} b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)+\frac{2 E_{12}}{a b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi}\right) \\
&+\frac{2 E_{12}}{a^{2} b}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)+2 F_{12}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)+\frac{D_{12}}{a^{4}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)^{2}+\frac{D_{12}}{b^{4}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{2 E_{12}}{a^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi}\right)+\frac{2 E_{12}}{b^{3}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)+\frac{F_{12}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2}+\frac{F_{12}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{4 D_{33}}{a^{2} b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right)+\frac{4 H_{33}}{a b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \eta}\right)+\frac{4 H_{33}}{a^{2} b}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2} \\
&+\frac{G_{33}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}+\frac{G_{33}}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 G_{33}}{a b}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial \xi}\right)+\frac{I_{33}}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \xi}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 I_{33}}{a}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \xi} \theta_{x}\right) \\
&\left.+I_{33} \theta_{x}^{2}+\frac{I_{44}}{b^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \eta}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 I_{44}}{b}\left(\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \eta} \theta_{y}\right)+I_{44} \theta_{y}^{2}\right] d \xi d \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j} f(z)^{2} d z, E_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j}\left(f(z)^{2}-2 z f(z)\right) d z \tag{12,13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j}\left(f(z)^{2}-2 z f(z)+z^{2}\right) d z & G_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j}\left(f(z)^{2}-2 f(z)+1\right) d z \\
H_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j}\left(f(z)^{2}-f(z)\right) d z & I_{i, j}=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} C_{i, j}\left(\left(\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}\right)^{2}-2 \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}+1\right) d z \tag{16,17}
\end{array}
$$

Where $\xi(=x / a)$ and $\eta(=y / b)$ are the non-dimensional coordinates.

### 2.1. Hierarchical finite element formulation

A fournode rectangular hierarchical finite element with six degrees of freedom per node ( $w_{0}, \partial w_{0} / \partial x, \partial w_{0} / \partial y$, $\partial w_{0} / \partial x y, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ ) is developed on the basis of a third-order plate theory (See Fig. 2). Trigonometric hierarchical functions are used as shape functions. The model requires $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity for $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$ and $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity for $w_{0}$.

The displacement and rotations of the rectangular plate p-element are expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{0}(\xi, \eta, t)=\sum_{m=1}^{P_{w}} \sum_{n=1}^{P_{w}} W_{m n}(t) g_{m}(\xi) g_{n}(\eta) \\
& \theta_{x}(\xi, \eta, t)=\sum_{m=1}^{P_{\theta}} \sum_{n=1}^{P_{\theta}} \theta_{x_{m n}}(t) f_{m}(\xi) f_{n}(\eta)  \tag{18}\\
& \theta_{y}(\xi, \eta, t)=\sum_{m=1}^{P_{\theta}} \sum_{n=1}^{P_{\theta}} \theta_{y_{m n}}(t) f_{m}(\xi) f_{n}(\eta)
\end{align*}
$$

Where $P_{w}$ and $P_{\theta}$ are the number of shape functions used in the model.

The firsts shape functions $\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right.$ and $g_{1}$ to $\left.g_{4}\right)$ are commonly used in the finite element method. The functions $\left(f_{n+2}\right.$ and $g_{n+4}$ ) are the trigonometric shape functions and lead to zero transverse displacement, and zero slope at each node. This feature is highly significant since these functions only give additional freedom to the edges and the interior of the element.

The trigonometric hierarchical shape functions $f_{i}(\xi)$ for $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ continuity and $g_{i}(\xi)$ for $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ continuity are given by [24]
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{1}=1-\xi \\ f_{2}=\xi \\ f_{n+2}=\sin (\delta r \xi) \\ \delta r=r \pi \\ r=1,2,3, \ldots\end{array}\right.$
and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}=1-3 \xi^{2}+2 \xi^{3}  \tag{20}\\
g_{2}=\xi-2 \xi^{2}+\xi^{3} \\
g_{3}=3 \xi^{2}-2 \xi^{3} \\
g_{4}=-\xi^{2}+\xi^{3} \\
g_{n+4}=\delta r\left[-\xi+\left(2+(-1)^{r}\right) \xi^{2}-\left(1+(-1)^{r}\right) \xi^{3}\right]+\sin (\delta r \xi) \\
\delta r=r \pi \\
r=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

The displacement and rotationscan be expressed in matrix form
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}w_{0} \\ \theta_{x} \\ \theta_{y}\end{array}\right\}=[N]\{q\}$
$[\mathrm{N}]$ is the matrix of the shape functions, given by
$[N]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}{\left[N_{w}\right]} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & {\left[N_{\theta}\right]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & {\left[N_{\theta}\right]}\end{array}\right]$
where
$\{q\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}q_{w} \\ q_{\theta_{x}} \\ q_{\theta_{y}}\end{array}\right\}$

In which $q_{w}, q_{\theta_{x}}$ and $q_{\theta_{y}}$ are the generalized displacements.

The matrices of theshape functions are given by
$\left[N_{w}\right]=\left[\left(g_{1}(\xi) g_{1}(\eta)\right)_{1},\left(g_{1}(\xi) g_{2}(\eta)\right)_{2}, \ldots\left(g_{k}(\xi) g_{l}(\eta)\right)_{r}, \ldots\left(g_{\mathrm{P}_{w}}(\xi) g_{\mathrm{P}_{w}}(\eta)\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{w} \mathrm{P}_{w}}\right]$
where $k=1, \ldots, P_{w}, l=1, \ldots, P_{w}$, and $r=j+(i-1) P_{w}$
and
$\left[N_{\theta}\right]=\left[\left(f_{1}(\xi) f_{1}(\eta)\right)_{1},\left(f_{1}(\xi) f_{2}(\eta)\right)_{2}, \ldots\left(f_{i}(\xi) f_{j}(\eta)\right)_{m}, \ldots\left(f_{\mathrm{P}_{\theta}}(\xi) f_{\mathrm{P}_{\theta}}(\eta)\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{\theta} \mathrm{P}_{\theta}}\right]$
where $i=1, \ldots, P_{\theta}, j=1, \ldots, P_{\theta}$, and $m=j+(i-1) P_{\theta}$.

The discretized system of equations of bending of free vibration of isotropic plate can be expressed as
$[M]\{\tilde{q}\}+[K]\{q\}=0$

Here $[\mathrm{K}]$ is the stiffness matrix of the p-element, determined from the strain energy
$[K]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}{\left[K_{w w}\right]} & {\left[K_{\mathrm{w} \mathrm{\theta x}}\right]} & {\left[K_{\mathrm{wey}}\right]} \\ {\left[K_{\mathrm{w} \theta \mathrm{x}}\right]^{T}} & {\left[K_{\text {өx }}\right]} & {\left[K_{\text {өxөy }}\right]} \\ {\left[K_{\mathrm{wey}}\right]^{T}} & {\left[K_{\text {өxөy }}\right]^{T}} & {\left[K_{\text {өyөy }}\right]}\end{array}\right]$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[K_{w w}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} } {\left[\frac{D_{11}}{a^{4}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{2 D_{12}}{a^{2} b^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\frac{D_{22}}{b^{4}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \eta^{2}}\right.} \\
&\left.+\frac{4 D_{33}}{a^{2} b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \xi \partial \eta}+\frac{F_{44}}{a^{2} b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{F_{44}}{a^{2} b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \eta}\right] d \xi d \eta  \tag{28}\\
& {\left[K_{w e x}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{2 E_{11}}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{2 E_{12}}{a^{2} b} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{2 E_{33}}{a b^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}\right.} \\
&\left.+\frac{2 F_{44}}{a} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi}\left[N_{\theta}\right]\right] d \xi d \eta  \tag{29}\\
& {\left[K_{w e y}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} } \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{2 E_{12}}{a^{2} b} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}+\frac{2 E_{22}}{b^{3}} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}+\frac{4 E_{33}}{a^{2} b} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{2 F_{55}}{a} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta}\left[N_{\theta}\right]\right] d \xi d \eta \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[K_{\theta x \theta x}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{G_{11}}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{G_{33}}{b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}+F_{44}\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}\left[N_{\theta}\right]\right] d \xi d \eta}  \tag{31}\\
& {\left[K_{\theta y \mathrm{y} \theta \mathrm{y}}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{G_{33}}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{G_{22}}{b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}+F_{44}\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}\left[N_{\theta}\right]\right] d \xi d \eta}  \tag{32}\\
& {\left[K_{\text {өxxy }}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{2 G_{12}}{a b} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \eta}+\frac{2 G_{33}}{a b} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{\theta}\right]}{\partial \xi}\right] d \xi d \eta} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

[M]is themass matrix of the p-element,given by the following relation
$[M]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}{\left[M_{w w}\right]} & {\left[M_{\mathrm{wex}}\right]} & {\left[M_{\mathrm{wey}}\right]} \\ {\left[M_{\mathrm{w} \mathrm{\theta x}}\right]^{T}} & {\left[M_{\text {өxөx }}\right]} & {\left[M_{\text {өxөy }}\right]} \\ {\left[M_{\mathrm{wey}}\right]^{T}} & {\left[M_{\text {өxөy }}\right]^{T}} & {\left[M_{\text {өy }}\right]}\end{array}\right]$

Where

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M_{w w}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{A}\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}\left[N_{w}\right]+\frac{\rho_{E}}{a^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\rho_{E}}{b^{2}} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]}{\partial \eta}\right] d \xi d \eta}  \tag{35}\\
& {\left[M_{w \theta x}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{G} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \xi}\left[N_{\theta}\right] d \xi d \eta}  \tag{36}\\
& {\left[M_{w \theta y}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{G} \frac{\partial\left[N_{w}\right]^{T}}{\partial \eta}\left[N_{\theta}\right] d \xi d \eta}  \tag{37}\\
& {\left[M_{\theta x \theta x}\right]=\left[M_{\theta y \theta y}\right]=a b \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \rho_{H}\left[N_{\theta}\right]^{T}\left[N_{\theta}\right] d \xi d \eta} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Numerical results and discussion

### 3.1. Convergence study

Tables 1 to 5 show that good convergence and accuracy of the solutions are obtained by increasing the number of trigonometric shape functions, for all cases. It is seen that good results from thick plates are obtained by
using, only six shape function in the case of SSSS plates, 14 shape functions in the case CCCC plates, 12 shape functions in the case of FFFF plates, and 17 shape functions in others cases.

Table 1.Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ for a thick plates ( $v=0.3, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1, \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}=0.5$ ) with SSSS boundary condition.

| $P_{\theta}=P_{w}$ | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 1.263 | 2.47 | 2.47 | 2.919 | 2.919 |  |
| 5 |  | 1.246 | 2.414 | 2.414 | 2.919 | 2.919 |
| 6 | 1.245 | 2.308 | 2.308 | 2.919 | 2.919 |  |
| Converged 1.245 2.308 2.308 2.919 | 2.919 |  |  |  |  |  |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ for a thick plates $(v=0.3, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1, \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}=0.5)$ with CCCC boundary condition.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\theta}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 3.623 | 3.623 | 4.026 | 4.557 | 5.069 |
| 5 | 1.662 | 3.617 | 3.617 | 3.965 | 4.515 |
| 6 | 1.659 | 2.639 | 2.639 | 3.466 | 3.695 |
| 7 | 1.618 | 2.613 | 2.613 | 3.448 | 3.691 |
| 8 | 1.615 | 2.586 | 2.586 | 3.405 | 3.687 |
| 9 | 1.604 | 2.575 | 2.575 | 3.392 | 3.686 |
| 10 | 1.601 | 2.566 | 2.566 | 3.379 | 3.686 |
| 11 | 1.598 | 2.559 | 2.559 | 3.369 | 3.685 |
| 12 | 1.595 | 2.556 | 2.556 | 3.366 | 3.685 |
| 13 | 1.595 | 2.552 | 2.552 | 3.358 | 3.685 |
| 14 | 1.593 | 2.55 | 2.55 | 3.358 | 3.685 |
| Converged |  |  |  |  |  |
| solution | 1.593 | 2.55 | 2.55 | 3.358 | 3.685 |

Table 3.Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ for a thick plates ( $v=0.3, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1, \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}=0.5$ ) with FFFF boundary condition.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\theta}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 0.905 |  | 1.354 | 1.693 | 1.939 | 1.939 |
| 5 |  | 0.905 |  | 1.266 | 1.514 | 1.805 |


| 6 | 0.895 | 1.266 | 1.514 | 1.798 | 1.798 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.895 | 1.265 | 1.511 | 1.793 | 1.793 |
| 8 | 0.893 | 1.265 | 1.511 | 1.792 | 1.792 |
| 9 | 0.893 | 1.265 | 1.511 | 1.79 | 1.790 |
| 10 | 0.892 | 1.265 | 1.511 | 1.79 | 1.790 |
| 11 | 0.892 | 1.265 | 1.511 | 1.789 | 1.789 |
| 12 | 0.892 | 1.264 | 1.511 | 1.789 | 1.789 |
| Converged | 0.892 | 1.264 | 1.511 | 1.789 | 1.789 |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ for a thick plates $(v=0.3, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1, \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}=$ $0.5)$ with CFCF boundary condition.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\theta}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2.883 | 3.378 | 3.45 | 3.56 | 3.792 |
| 5 | 1.139 | 1.248 | 1.947 | 2.721 | 3.369 |
| 6 | 1.137 | 1.241 | 1.944 | 2.261 | 2.450 |
| 7 | 1.114 | 1.213 | 1.913 | 2.252 | 2.439 |
| 8 | 1.112 | 1.209 | 1.91 | 2.226 | 2.411 |
| 9 | 1.106 | 1.202 | 1.903 | 2.218 | 2.403 |
| 10 | 1.103 | 1.199 | 1.900 | 2.210 | 2.395 |
| 11 | 1.102 | 1.197 | 1.898 | 2.204 | 2.389 |
| 12 | 1.100 | 1.195 | 1.897 | 2.202 | 2.387 |
| 13 | 1.100 | 1.195 | 1.896 | 2.198 | 2.382 |
| 14 | 1.099 | 1.193 | 1.895 | 2.198 | 2.382 |
| 15 | 1.099 | 1.193 | 1.895 | 2.194 | 2.379 |
| 16 | 1.098 | 1.192 | 1.894 | 2.194 | 2.378 |
| 17 | 1.098 | 1.192 | 1.894 | 2.192 | 2.376 |
| Converged | 1.098 | 1.192 | 1.894 | 2.192 | 2.376 |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.Convergence of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ for a thick plates ( $v=0.3, \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}=1, \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{a}=0.5$ ) with CSCS boundary condition.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\theta}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}$ |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 |  | 3.418 | 3.499 | 3.592 | 4.331 | 4.432 |
| 5 |  | 1.656 |  | 3.418 | 3.485 | 3.585 |
| 6 |  | 1.654 |  | 2.611 | 2.635 | 3.351 |


| 7 | 1.612 | 2.580 | 2.610 | 3.343 | 3.517 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1.609 | 2.554 | 2.583 | 3.304 | 3.514 |
| 9 | 1.599 | 2.542 | 2.572 | 3.295 | 3.513 |
| 10 | 1.595 | 2.533 | 2.563 | 3.284 | 3.513 |
| 11 | 1.593 | 2.526 | 2.556 | 3.276 | 3.512 |
| 12 | 1.590 | 2.523 | 2.553 | 3.273 | 3.512 |
| 13 | 1.590 | 2.519 | 2.549 | 3.267 | 3.512 |
| 14 | 1.587 | 2.517 | 2.548 | 3.267 | 3.512 |
| 15 | 1.587 | 2.514 | 2.545 | 3.263 | 3.512 |
| 16 | 1.586 | 2.514 | 2.544 | 3.263 | 3.512 |
| 17 | 1.586 | 2.512 | 2.542 | 3.260 | 3.512 |
| Converged | 1.586 | 2.512 | 2.542 | 3.260 | 3.512 |
| solution |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.2. Discussion

The results obtained for an isotropic plate by applying Higher-order using rectangular p-element, are compared with those available in the literature. The linear natural frequencies of plates with free edges (FFFF), simply supported (SSSS), fully clamped plates (CCCC), and combined boundary condition (CFCF), (SFSF) are considered.

The frequency parameter of the plate is expressed as
$\Omega=\omega \frac{b^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D}}$,

Where $D=\frac{E h^{3}}{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}$ is the flexural rigidity of the plate.

The first five frequency parameters $\Omega$ for simply-supported (SSSS) square plates with different thickness-side ratio $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b}=0.001,0.1,0.2,0.5$ computed using the present method are given in Table 6 and compared with other published solutions, Leissa [17], Houmat [24], 3-D exact solution [18], Nayak [9], The authors in 1956 [2], Lim and his colleagues [18], Srinivas and his colleagues [19], Malik and Bert, 1998 [27], Zhou and his colleagues [20], very good agreement can be observed, in the results with concealment compare of Nayak [9] are obtained with 1245 DOF, or ours are obtained with $480 \operatorname{DOF}_{\theta}=P_{w}=19$, in the example illustrated in table 6, a very good accuracy is observed.

In table 7, good agreement is achieved by comparing the present results with those obtained by Wang [21], Leissa [17], Lim and his colleagues [1], Liew and his colleagues [23], Wang [22], The first five frequency parameters $\Omega$ for fully clamped (CCCC) square plates with different thickness-side ratio $h / b=0.001,0.1,0.2,0.5$.

Table 6.Comparison of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ of a SSSS square plate ( $v=0.3$ ).

| h/a | Solution methods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | Present (P0=Pw=19) | 2,000 | 5,003 | 5,003 | 8,004 | 10,017 |
|  | CPT-exact [17] | 2,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 8,000 | 10,000 |
| 0.1 | Present (480 dofs) | 1,932 | 4,609 | 4,609 | 7.074 | 8.622 |
|  | HSDT FEM [9] (1245 dofs) | 1,931 | 4,614 | 4,614 | 7.085 | 8.657 |
|  | MindlinTheory [2] | 1,931 | 4,605 | 4,605 | 7.064 | 8.607 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 1,932 | 4,609 | 4,609 | 7.073 | 8.617 |
|  | 3-D exact [19] | 1,934 | 4,622 | 4,622 | 7.103 | 8.662 |
| 0.2 | Present (P0=Pw=12) | 1,768 | 3.870 | 3.870 | 5.599 | 6.619 |
|  | MindlinTheory [2] | 1,766 | 3.858 | 3.858 | 5.573 | - |
|  | 3-D exact [19] | 1,756 | 3.899 | 3.899 | 5.653 | - |
| 0.5 | Present (P0=Pw=6) | 1,245 | 2.308 | 2.308 | 2.919 | 2.919 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 1,245 | 2.308 | 2.308 | 2.917 | 2.917 |
|  | 3-D exact [19] | 1,259 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 3-D Ritz [20] | 1,259 | 2.331 | 2.331 | - | - |

Table 7.Comparison of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ of a CCCC square plate ( $v=0.3$ ).

| h/a | Solution methods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | Present (P0=Pw=24) | 3.674 | 7.506 | 7.506 | 11.162 | 13.488 |
|  | CPT Ritz (S wang) [21] | 3,646 | 7,436 | 7,436 | 10,945 | 13,332 |
|  | CPT Ritz [17] | 3,647 | 7,438 | 7,438 | 10,970 | 13,338 |
| 0.1 | Present (P0=Pw=22) | 3.306 | 6.323 | 6.323 | 8.879 | 10.477 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 3,303 | 6,311 | 6,311 | 8,858 | 10,446 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 3,322 | 6,346 | 6,346 | 8,903 | 10,498 |
| 0.2 | Present (P0=Pw=22) | 2.720 | 4.786 | 4.786 | 6.451 | 7.384 |
|  | MindlinTheory [23] | 2,681 | 4,675 | 4,675 | - | - |
| 0.5 | Present (P0=Pw=14) | 1.593 | 2.550 | 2.550 | 3.358 | 3.685 |


| HSDT [18] | 1,587 | 2.536 | 2.536 | 3.337 | 3.684 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3-D Ritz [22] | 1,550 | 2,515 | 2,515 | 3,193 | 3.654 |

In table 8, good agreement is achieved by comparing the present results with those obtained by, Liew and his colleagues . [23] and Lim and his colleagues [18], The first five frequency parameters $\Omega$ for combined boundary condition (CFCF) square plates with tree different thickness-side ratio $h / b=0.01,0.1,0.5$.

Table 8.Comparison of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ of a CFCF square plate ( $v=0.3$ ).

| h/b | Solution methods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | Present (P0=Pw=22) | 2.245 | 2.673 | 4.410 | 6.189 | 6.795 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 2.248 | 2.674 | 4.408 | 6.197 | 6.799 |
| 0.1 | Present (P0=Pw=22) | 2.095 | 2.441 | 3.920 | 5.366 | 5.813 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 2.093 | 2.438 | 3.913 | 5.357 | 5.802 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 2.105 | 2.449 | 3.923 | 5.386 | 5.827 |
| 0.5 | Present (P0=Pw=17) | 1.098 | 1.192 | 1.894 | 2.192 | 2.376 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 1.095 | 1.189 | 1.891 | 2.185 | 2.369 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 1.072 | 1.193 | 1.871 | 2.193 | 2.325 |

In table 9, shows a comparison of results for square thin and thick plates by, Houmat [24], and Lim and his colleagues [18], The first five frequency parameters $\Omega$ for free edges (FFFF) square plates with different thickness-side ratio $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b}=0.001,0.1,0.5$.

Table 9.Comparison of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}$ of a FFFF square plate ( $v=0.3$ ).

| h/a | Solution methods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | Present (P0=Pw=20) | 1,365 | 1,986 | 2,460 | 3,529 | 6,199 |
|  | HFEM [24] | 1,365 | 1,986 | 2,459 | 3,526 | 6,190 |
| 0.1 | Present (P0=Pw=20) | 1,291 | 1,919 | 2,363 | 3,239 | 3,239 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 1,289 | 1,919 | 2,363 | 3,235 | 3,235 |
| 0.5 | Present (P0=Pw=12) | 0,892 | 1,264 | 1,511 | 1.789 | 1.789 |
|  | HSDT [18] | 0,891 | 1,264 | 1,511 | 1.788 | 1.788 |

In table 10, good agreement has been achieved. The attained accuracy is also confirmed by comparing our results, Leissa [17], Hosseini and his colleagues [25,26], Malik [27], Liew and his colleagues [23], The first five frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \mathrm{a}^{2} \sqrt{\rho \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{D}}$ for combined boundary condition (SFSF) square plates with different thickness-side ratio $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{b}=0.001,0.1,0.2,0.5$.

Table 10.Comparison of frequency parameters $\Omega=\omega \mathrm{a}^{2} \sqrt{\rho \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{D}}$ of a SFSF square plate ( $v=0.3$ ).

| h/a | Solution methods | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.001 | Present ( $\mathrm{P} \theta=\mathrm{Pw}=17$ ) | 9.638 | 16.142 | 36.730 | 39.027 | 46.860 |
|  | CPT-exact [17] | 9,631 | 16,135 | 36,726 | 38,945 | 46,738 |
|  | HSDT Exact [25] | 9,631 | 16,131 | 36,716 | 38,943 | 46,732 |
| 0.1 | Present ( $\mathrm{P} \theta=\mathrm{Pw}=20$ ) | 9,442 | 15,401 | 33,896 | 36,367 | 42,821 |
|  | Exact FSDT [26] | 9,446 | 15,405 | 33,916 | 36,425 | 42,887 |
|  | 3-D DQM [27] | 9,446 | 15,400 | 33,911 | 36,437 | 42,887 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 9,446 | 15,400 | 33,913 | 36,438 | 42,887 |
|  | HSDT Exact [25] | 9,446 | 15,392 | 33,868 | 36,349 | 42,801 |
| 0.2 | Present ( $\mathrm{P} \theta=\mathrm{Pw}=20$ ) | 8,984 | 14,104 | 29,170 | 31,296 | 36,006 |
|  | Exact FSDT [26] | 9,000 | 14,134 | 29,256 | 31,434 | 36,165 |
|  | 3-D DQM [27] | 9,001 | 14,123 | 29,263 | 31,472 | 36,173 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 9,001 | 14,122 | 29,263 | 31,472 | 36,173 |
|  | HSDT Exact [25] | 8,984 | 14,101 | 29,162 | 31,293 | 35,999 |
| 0.5 | Present ( $\mathrm{P} \theta=\mathrm{Pw}=20$ ) | 7,121 | 10,070 | 18,196 | 19,470 | 21,628 |
|  | 3-D Ritz [22] | 7,166 | 10,100 | 18,210 | 19,613 | 21,652 |
|  | HSDT Exact [25] | 7,121 | 10,069 | 18,194 | 19,469 | 21,626 |

In table 11 and 12, in has to study the effect of the boundary conditions, thickness ratio and rapport a/b on frequency parameters $\Omega$, These tabulated frequency parameters should be useful as benchmark solutions for researchers who are developing numerical techniques and software for solving isotropic HSDT plate vibration problems.

Table 11.Frequency parameter $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}(v=0.3)$ of FFFF, CCCC and CFCF plates.

| B.C | a/b | h/a | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFFF | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.096 | 2.439 | 5.172 | 5.466 | 7.850 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.917 | 2.090 | 4.219 | 4.477 | 6.220 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.201 | 1.365 | 2.278 | 2.408 | 2.772 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 1.291 | 1.919 | 2.363 | 3.239 | 3.239 |
|  | 1 | 0.2 | 1.187 | 1.763 | 2.148 | 2.798 | 2.798 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.892 | 1.264 | 1.511 | 1.789 | 1.789 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 0.865 | 0.948 | 1.963 | 2.166 | 2.463 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.809 | 0.906 | 1.766 | 1.978 | 2.196 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.630 | 0.731 | 1.243 | 1.402 | 1.451 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 0.538 | 0.646 | 1.409 | 1.467 | 2.149 |
|  | 2 | 0.2 | 0.524 | 0.609 | 1.293 | 1.367 | 1.962 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.538 | 0.646 | 1.409 | 1.467 | 2.149 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 7.872 | 9.913 | 13.323 | 17.15 | 17.739 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 5.569 | 6.925 | 9.039 | 10.885 | 11.631 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 2.863 | 3.510 | 4.331 | 4.541 | 4.959 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 3.306 | 6.323 | 6.323 | 8.879 | 10.477 |
|  | 1 | 0.2 | 2.720 | 4.786 | 4.786 | 6.451 | 7.384 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.593 | 2.550 | 2.550 | 3.358 | 3.685 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 2.531 | 3.811 | 5.767 | 5.858 | 6.831 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.2 | 2.140 | 3.111 | 4.407 | 4.553 | 5.149 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.303 | 1.804 | 2.363 | 2.508 | 2.755 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 2.314 | 2.960 | 4.086 | 5.599 | 5.632 |
|  | 2 | 0.2 | 1.968 | 2.478 | 3.331 | 4.287 | 4.435 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.203 | 1.493 | 1.939 | 2.298 | 2.476 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.1 | 2.080 | 3.152 | 5.328 | 6.852 | 9.214 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.782 | 2.473 | 4.098 | 5.074 | 6.851 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.095 | 1.253 | 2.186 | 2.513 | 3.434 |
|  |  | 0.1 | 2.095 | 2.441 | 3.920 | 5.366 | 5.813 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.793 | 2.036 | 3.194 | 4.120 | 4.430 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCF |  | 0.5 | 1.098 | 1.192 | 1.894 | 2.192 | 2.376 |


| 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.101 | 2.264 | 2.907 | 4.225 | 5.381 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 1.798 | 1.915 | 2.41 | 3.446 | 4.13 |
|  | 0.5 | 1.099 | 1.150 | 1.443 | 1.990 | 2.198 |
|  | 0.1 | 2.105 | 2.198 | 2.555 | 3.265 | 4.400 |
| 2 | 0.2 | 1.800 | 1.867 | 2.140 | 2.703 | 3.589 |
|  | 0.5 | 1.100 | 1.131 | 1.285 | 1.619 | 2.084 |

Table 12.Frequency parameter $\Omega=\omega \frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{D}}}(v=0.3)$ of CFFF, CSCS and SFSF plates.

| B.C | a/b | h/a | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFFF | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.367 | 1.997 | 3.658 | 6.611 | 7.332 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.257 | 1.730 | 3.018 | 5.120 | 5.321 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.908 | 1.120 | 1.834 | 2.339 | 2.499 |
|  | 1 | 0.1 | 0.348 | 0.818 | 2.038 | 2.586 | 2.868 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.339 | 0.747 | 1.790 | 2.282 | 2.435 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.296 | 0.531 | 1.125 | 1.502 | 1.523 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.155 | 0.502 | 0.941 | 1.666 | 2.294 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.152 | 0.467 | 0.879 | 1.490 | 2.057 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.142 | 0.346 | 0.649 | 1.023 | 1.380 |
|  | 2 | 0.1 | 0.087 | 0.360 | 0.534 | 1.161 | 1.471 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.086 | 0.337 | 0.513 | 1.062 | 1.349 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.082 | 0.256 | 0.417 | 0.766 | 0.958 |
| CSCS | 0.5 | 0.1 | 7.867 | 9.898 | 13.297 | 17.142 | 17.706 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 5.565 | 6.913 | 9.023 | 10.87 | 11.616 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 2.859 | 3.504 | 4.319 | 4.535 | 4.898 |
|  | 1 | 0.1 | 3.302 | 6.312 | 6.314 | 8.854 | 10.461 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 2.710 | 4.769 | 4.770 | 6.412 | 7.358 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.586 | 2.512 | 2.542 | 3.260 | 3.512 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.1 | 2.528 | 3.804 | 5.762 | 5.848 | 6.814 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 2.132 | 3.093 | 4.395 | 4.533 | 5.115 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.295 | 1.779 | 2.359 | 2.491 | 2.728 |
|  | 2 | 0.1 | 2.313 | 2.955 | 4.078 | 5.596 | 5.622 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 1.962 | 2.462 | 3.310 | 4.279 | 4.413 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1.194 | 1.470 | 1.917 | 2.294 | 2.453 |
| SFSF | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.945 | 2.527 | 3.641 | 5.716 | 7.698 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.900 | 2.155 | 3.137 | 4.575 | 6.006 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.718 | 1.202 | 1.963 | 2.414 | 2.611 |
|  | 1 | 0.1 | 0.957 | 1.560 | 3.434 | 3.685 | 4.338 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.910 | 1.429 | 2.955 | 3.171 | 3.648 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.722 | 1.020 | 1.844 | 1.973 | 2.191 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.964 | 1.274 | 2.204 | 3.702 | 3.777 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.917 | 1.188 | 1.977 | 3.185 | 3.225 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.726 | 0.892 | 1.343 | 1.945 | 1.98 |
|  | 2 | 0.1 | 0.968 | 1.153 | 1.721 | 2.655 | 3.711 |
|  |  | 0.2 | 0.921 | 1.085 | 1.576 | 2.349 | 3.193 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 0.729 | 0.831 | 1.124 | 1.540 | 1.984 |

## 4. Conclusion

An hierarchical finite element formulation is presented based on an Higher-order shear plates theory for homogeneous thick plates, a computer program is developed, A p-version, hierarchical finite element was presented and applied to thick plate with trigonometric hierarchical shape functions.

1- Based on the Reddy's higher-order theory, these elements have been implemented with a very simple and understandable mathematical framework and are easily programmed.

2- High accuracy, stable numerical computation and rapid convergence have been observed in the analysis.
3- The results are compared with other shear deformation theories and exact elasticity solutions. Good agreement may be noted.

Several examples are solved. The effect of boundary condition and ratio $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ and $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{a}$ the analyzes is also studied.
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