Performance Enhancement of TBAI Capped CdSe-Quantum Dot Sensitized Solar Cells by an Interlayer Gold Nanoparticles
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Abstract

The photovoltaic performance (PV) of quantum dot sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs) has been studied by the addition of gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) at three configuration interlayer positions in the photoanodes. The resulting photoanodes are (i) Fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) /Au NPs/TiO2/CdSe QDs, (ii) FTO/TiO2/Au NPs/CdSe QDs and (iii) FTO/TiO2/CdSe QDs/Au NPs. The TOPO and HDA capping of CdSe QDs has been modified to be TBAI in order to decrease the CdSe-TiO2 molecular separation. The average size of Au NPs is ~15nm as measured by HRTEM. Our results show that the configuration with Au NPs deposited directly on FTO exhibit a noticeable improvement of the power conversion efficiency (PCE) from 0.62% to 1.1%, while the other two configurations show a slight improvement in their performance. The effect of Au NPs in the three photonode configurations on the photovoltaic performance are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Recently, a great effort has been done by researchers to improve the performance of the various solar cell types that belong to the third generation, especially quantum dots sensitized solar cells (QDSSCs) [1,2]. In these QDSSC, quantum dots (QDs) are adsorbed onto large band gap metal oxides such as TiO2 to act as light harvesting sensitizers [3,4]. These QDs posses many attractive properties such as the ability to tune their band gaps, high extinction coefficients due to quantum confinement, large intrinsic dipole moment leading to rapid charge separation and as well low manufacturing cost [5]. Among the different QD3 semiconductor sensitizers such as (CdS, CdSe, PbS, InP, etc,) for QDSSCs, CdSe QDs were intensively studied due to it is band gap matching for solar light absorption along with wide range of band gap tenability and high extinction coefficient [6-8]. Usually, colloidal CdSe QDs prepared by hot injection methods are enclosed within a shell from organic ligands such as tri-n-octylphosphine oxide (TOPO), oleic acid (OA), and hexadecylamine (HDA) [9]. These organic ligands represents a barrier against charge transfer from the CdSe QDs which in turn decrease the electronic coupling between QDs and TiO2 and increase the interface resistance to the charge carriers flux [10]. CdSe with inorganic ligands as tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) have a shorter chain can exhibit smaller size and higher electron mobility [11]. Thereby, the diffusion path of photoelectrons from CdSe QDs is reduced and the electronic coupling with TiO2 could be enhanced by the decrease of interface resistance. Hence, an overall enhancement in performance of CdSe-QDSSCs is expected to be achieved as a result of better photoelectron collection efficiency [12]. On the other hand, a lot of efforts currently are running to improve the photovoltaic performance of QDSSCs by insertion of an interfacial layer of plasmmonic metal NPs in order to improve its electrical and optical properties [13]. Among the different plasmonic metal NPs, gold (Au) NPs interlayer’s have showes high potential in enhancing the performance of QDSSCs due to their outstanding optical properties. Kouskoussa and his colleagues studied the effect of ultrathin metal layer insertion between conducting FTO and ZnO layer in organic photovoltaic [14,15]. An interfacial Au NPs layer between the FTO substrate and the TiO2 mesoporous layer in CdS-QDSSC was studied by Guang Zhu and his colleagues and the PCE has been increased from 0.86% to 1.62% upon Au NPs layer insertion [16]. M. Oliveira and his colleagues employed the plasmon-induced photoelectron-chemistry from gold NPs placed in two different configuration in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs), TiO2/Au NPs/Dye and TiO2/Dye/Au NPs, to improve the photovoltaic performance of the fabricated DSSC devices through increasing light scattering and hot-electrons utilization [17]. In this work we report on systematic study employing Au NPs layer at three configurations and the resulting difference in PCE due to their different configurational positions. We study here three different interfacial configuration of Au NPs layers in surface modified TBAI capped CdSe QDs in QDSSCs, the three configuration are (i) Au NPs as interfacial layer between FTO and TiO2 (FTO /Au NPs /TiO2 /CdSe QDs) (ii) Au NPs placed on top of the TiO2 mesoporous film (FTO/TiO2/Au NPs/CdSe QDs) and (iii) Au NPs placed on top of the active layer CdSe QDs (FTO/TiO2/CdSe QDs/Au NPs).

2. Experimental details

2.1. Materials

FTO substrate with sheet resistance12 Ω square-1 (TEC-15, Sigma-Aldrich) used for both photoanode and
counter electrodes. Cadmium oxide (CdO), TOPO, Selenium (Se), HDA, Hydrogen tetrachloroaurate(III) trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), Zinc nitrate (Zn(NO₃)₂) and Tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Trioclyiphosphine (TOP) was purchased from Fluka. Trisodium citrate dehydrate (Na₃C₆H₅O₇·2H₂O), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) and Ascorbic acid, Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), Cupric acetate monohydrate (Cu(OAc)₂·H₂O) elemental sulfur (S) and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (Na₂S·9H₂O) were reagent grade and used as received without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of CdSe QDs nanoparticles with TBAI capping

CdSe QDs were prepared according organometallic method [18]. Cd precursor is prepared from 0.3 g (2.34 mmol) of CdO in 2 g (0.701 mol) oleic acid at 170°C. A mixture of 2 g (4.77 mmol) of TOPO and 2 g (8 mmol) of HDA are added to the solution and held at 180°C for nearly 5 min. Meanwhile, Selenium precursor by dissolving a 0.3 g (3.79 mmol) of selenium in 4 mL (8.8 mmol) of TOP. The Cadmium solution is loaded to a tri-neck flask and heated to 130°C followed by the injection of the selenium solution drop wise to the reaction mixture and heating to 220°C for 15 min, during this time CdSe QDs indicated by the change in color in the reaction mixture. The heating is turned off to allow cooling of the three-neck flask to 100°C, and left at this temperature for 5 minutes. At this point the reaction was quenched by rapidly cooling the solution in a water bath. CdSe NCs are washed via dispersion in hexane and ethanol followed by centrifugation.

To obtain the TBAI coated nanocrystals, CdSe nanocrystals (about 50 mg/ml) coated with TOPO, HDA and Oleic acid was put in 37 mg/ml of TBAI dissolved in IPA and heated at 70°C for 24 hours until the nanocrystals were totally dispersed in TBAI to get a clear solution. A copious amount of hexanes was added to precipitate the nanocrystals, and the NPs were collected by using centrifugation. After two repetitions of dissolution/precipitation with TBAI/hexanes, the surface capping ligands were replaced by TBAI.

2.3. Synthesis of gold nanoparticles

Aqueous solution from Au NPs was prepared following the method previously reported by Z. Sun group [19]. Briefly, 2.5 mL of a HAuCl₄·3H₂O solution (0.2% w/v) in 50 mL of water was brought to boil and then 2 mL of Na₃C₆H₅O₇·2H₂O (1% w/v) was suddenly added under vigorous stirring. The solution was kept boiling until the color of the solution change to red and then let to cool until room temperature was reached. The final concentration of Au NPs was adjusted to 10 µL of 20% of Au NPs by adding distilled water and was used for the QDSSC devices fabrication.

2.4. Fabrication of photoanodes

The solar cell photoanode is prepared on FTO coated glass (sheet resistance 12Ω square⁻¹, Solaronix) that was first ultrasonically cleaned using detergent solution, water, and acetone respectively. In the beginning, A compact TiO₂ layer was deposited on the FTO by spin coating 100 µl of 0.1 M isopropanol solution of Titanium Isopropoxide (TIP) at 1000 rpm for 10 seconds. The coating cycle was repeated twice and the substrate was annealed at 500°C for 1 hour. The layer of TiO₂ P25 mesoporous of 10.58±0.2 μm thickness was coated over the blocking layer (BL) by the doctor blade technique and sintered at 500 °C for 1 h.
prepared by mixing TiO₂ P25 and ethyl cellulose as a binder in terpineol [20]. The obtained TiO₂ mesoporous film were then coated with QDs sensitzers by drop casting of TBAI-QD aqueous dispersion with (30mg/ml) and staying for 1 h before being rinsed sequentially with water and ethanol. All the photoanodes were passivated by two SILAR cycles of ZnS as reported elsewhere.

Three different QDSSC configurations containing Au NPs layer were assembled and a schematic representation of the devices is depicted in Figure 1. 10 µL of 20% of Au NPs solution dispersion was drop-casted (i) on top of FTO glass (FTO/Au NPs/TiO₂/TBAI-CdSe QDs), (ii) on top of the TiO₂ mesoporous film (FTO/TiO₂/Au NPs/TBAI-CdSe QDs) and (iii) on top of the TBAI-CdSe QDs (FTO/TiO₂/TBAI-CdSe QDs/Au NPs).

2.5. QDSSC devices fabrication

The QDSSCs devices were fabricated by assembling the photoanodes and the prepared counter electrodes (CEs) by using parafilm as a spacer between the two electrodes. The CE of CuS was deposited on other FTO by a SILAR method [21]. A regenerative polysulfide electrolyte (S²⁻/Sₙ²⁻) made out of 1M Na₂S, 1M S, and 0.1M KCl in distilled water was utilized to fill the space between the two terminals electrodes [21].

![Figure 1: A schematic representation show the three different configurations of Au NPs layer into the assembled QDSSC devices.](image)

2.6. Characterizations and measurements

The UV-Vis absorption spectra of CdSe QDs were recorded using (Jasco 670 with 1cm quartz cuvettes) spectrophotometer. The size and morphology was determined using high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL JEM-2100 operated at 200KV with high resolution Gatan CCD bottom camera, Orius SC200). Photovoltaic solar cell measurements were performed using a solar simulator device (San-Ei Electric XES-40S1) at AM 1.5 with 1 sun illumination intensity (100 mW/cm²), and current density–voltage (J–V) data were recorded using a source meter unit (Keithley SMU 2400).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural and Morphology Analysis
The UV-vis absorption spectrum of TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs and TBAI capped CdSe QDs are shown in Figure 2. From the spectra, it is observed that the absorption peak shifts from 584 nm for the TOPO and HDA capped CdSe QDs to 576 nm for the TBAI capped CdSe QDs. Also the TBAI capped CdSe quantum dots in ethanol show a higher absorption than TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs in Toluene due to their different solubility behaviors.

The nanoparticles size was estimated from the UV-Vis absorption spectra peaks using three methods. The first method is the Polynomial Fitting Functions (PFF) given by [22]:

$$D = \left(1.6122 \times 10^{-9}\right) \lambda^4 - \left(2.657 \times 10^{-6}\right) \lambda^3 + \left(1.6242 \times 10^{-3}\right) \lambda^2 - \left(0.4277\right) \lambda + \left(41.57\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $D$(nm) is the size of a given CdSe QDs sample and $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) is the wavelength of the optical excitonic peak of the corresponding sample. The second method is a simple exponential function proposed by Bacherikov and his co-workers [23].

$$S = 0.344 \exp\left(\frac{\lambda_{\text{max}} - 252.7}{129.3}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $S$(nm) is the average diameter of particle size, $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm) is the wavelength of the optical first excitonic absorption peak. The third method is Effective Mass Approximation model (EMA) [24]. The band gap size is depend on the radius ($R$) of quantum dots which based on equation (2).
\[ E_{gn} = E_{gb} + \frac{\hbar^2}{8R^2} \left( \frac{1}{m_e} + \frac{1}{m_h} \right) - \frac{1.8e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R} \]  

(3)

where, \( E_{gn} \) is the electronic band gap for CdSe nanocrystals, \( E_{gb} \) is the band gap of bulk CdSe (1.74 eV), \( R \) is the average radius of nanoparticles. \( m_e \) is the electron effective mass (0.13 \( m_0 \)), \( m_h \) is the hole effective mass (0.45 \( m_0 \)) and \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric constant for CdSe QDs [25]. The calculated CdSe crystalline sizes according to the three methods are tabulated in the Table (1), noting that (Bohr diameter of CdSe QDs is about 6nm).

![HRTEM images of CdSe nanocrystals](image)

**Figure 3:** HRTEM images of CdSe nanocrystals (a) capped with TOPO & HDA, and (b) capped with TBAI. The inset of each micrograph is the histogram of particle size distribution.

The size of the nanoparticles in this study was also measured using TEM analysis as shown in Figure 3. It is clearly observed that the TBAI capped CdSe QDs Figure 3(b) are smaller in size than the TOPO, HDA capped counterparts shown in Figure 3(a) as it is also observed in Table 1. The reduction in size is a result of decreased surfactant length from 1.1nm for TOPO and HDA to 0.78nm for TBAI [26]. The decrease in the nanocrystals size during the capping exchange process may be due to the loss of Cd and Se atoms from the NPs surface as reported in other studies [27].

**Table 1:** The calculated size of TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs and TBAI capped CdSe QDs by first, second and third method using UV-vis absorption and also observed by HRTEM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CdSe QDs</th>
<th>First method (nm)</th>
<th>Second method (nm)</th>
<th>Third method (nm)</th>
<th>HRTEM (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capped with TOPO&amp;HDA</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capped with TBAI</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference of employing TOPO, HDA and TBAI as capping surfactant on CdSe QDs in the energy band gap is studied using Tauc's equation [28].

\[ \alpha h \nu = A(h \nu - E_g)^n \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( A \) is constant, \( \alpha \) is the optical absorption coefficient, \( h \nu \) is the energy of the incident photons, \( h \) is Planck's constant and \( n \) value depends on being either direct or indirect optical transition. In case of CdSe QDs, the transition is direct allowed semiconductor and \( n \) value is chosen to be 2 [29]. Hence, the energy gap \( (E_g) \) of CdSe QDs can be determined from the extrapolation of the linear part of the \((\alpha h \nu)^2 \) versus \( (h \nu) \) plot to the x-axis, as indicated in Figure 4. The resultant value of \( (E_g) \) for TBAI capped CdSe QDs with is found to be (2.07ev), while \( E_g \) for TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs with is found to be (2.05ev).

![Figure 4: Tauc's plot for TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs and TBAI capped CdSe QDs.](image)

The energy variation of the conduction band (CB) and valance band (VB) for CdSe QDs before and after surface modification with TBAI is shown by the following equations [30].

\[ E_{cb} = E_{cb,bulk} + (E_{g,observed} - E_{g,bulk})(\frac{m_h}{m_h + m_e}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ E_{vb} = E_{cb} - E_{g,observed} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \( E_{g,bulk} \) is the CdSe bulk bandgap (1.74 eV) and \( E_{cb,bulk} \) is the bulk conduction band minimum versus vacuum. \( E_{g,observed} \) is the observed band gap which determined from the first absorption wavelength. The value of the \( E_{cb} \) of bulk CdSe (~ -4.3 eV vs. vacuum) as deduced from electron affinity is very close to the \( E_{CB} \) of TiO₂
3.2. Photocurrent-Voltage Characterization

The photocurrent-voltage ($J–V$) curves of solar cells under solar irradiation for TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs (cell A) and TBAI capped CdSe QDs (cell B) are shown in Figure 5. The inset of Figure 5 are the image for photoanode of the (cell A) and (cell B). The photovoltaic parameter open circuit potential ($V_{OC}$), short-circuit current density ($J_{SC}$), fill factor ($FF$), and the total power conversion efficiency PCE of the (cell A) and (cell B) are given in Table 2.

![Figure 5: Photocurrent density–voltage curves of QDSSCs assembled with TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs and TBAI capped CdSe QDs. The inset is photographs for both photoanodes.](image)

It is clear that, the performance of (cell B) is much better than that of (cell A). The increase efficiency of cell B (0.62%) is 10 times higher than that of cell A (0.07%). The larger efficiency of (cell B) is attributed to; (i) more diffusion of TBAI capped CdSe particles on TiO$_2$ film as shown in the inset of Figure 5. Since for equal weight of the modified surface with TBAI capped CdSe QDs and the TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs, the TBAI capped CdSe QDs is allow more participating CdSe QDs on TiO$_2$ than TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs. (ii)The absorption band of TBAI capped CdSe QDs shifts to words solar band. (iii) The increase in photocurrent of cell (B) than cell (A) is due to the shift upward the $E_{CB}$ of TBAI capped CdSe QDs with less negative potentials (~4.277eV) (against vacuum) than $E_{CB}$ of TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs (~4.298eV), which increases the driving force for charge injection [31].

As shown in Table 2, the QDSSCs fabricated with TBAI capped CdSe nanoparticles exhibited better performance than the TOPO, HDA capped CdSe nanoparticles; where $J_{SC}$ increased from 0.3 mA/Cm$^2$ to 2.4 mA/Cm$^2$, $V_{OC}$ increased from 302 mV to 460 mV, and PCE increased from 0.07 % to 0.62 %.
Table 2: Photovoltaic parameters for TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs and TBAI capped CdSe QDs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (mV)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell (A)</td>
<td>TOPO , HDA</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell (B)</td>
<td>TBAI</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Basically, employing TBAI as ligand for CdSe QDs plays two essential roles for improving the performance of QDSSCs. Firstly; TBAI passivated the localized surface states on the CdSe QDs surface which suppress the surface recombination loss of the photoelectrons. Secondly; TBAI ligand decreases the charge transfer resistance at the interface between CdSe QDs and TiO$_2$ that is in turn positively reflect on the photoelectrons injection efficiency at the interface. It is worth to mention that, TBAI passivation for CdSe QDs can also reduce the surface reactivity of CdSe QDs against the corrosive effect polysulfide electrolyte which enhances the QDSSC device stability.

3.3. The effect of Au NPs interfacial location on Photocurrent-Voltage for TBAI capped CdSe QDSSCs in the three configurations

The HRTEM images of the synthesized Au NPs are shown in Figure 6(a). The Au NPs were quasi-spherical structure with a diameter $\approx$ 15 nm. The UV-vis measurement was performed on a clear colloidal solution of Au NPs and the acquired spectrum is shown in Figure 6(b). The inset of Figure 6(b) shows a photograph of the Au NPs colloidal solution which has wine red color. The clear and sharp peak easily seen around 525 nm in the UV-vis spectrum is attributed to the surface plasmon absorption of the Au NPs.

![HRTEM images](image1)

![UV–Vis absorption spectrum](image2)

**Figure 6:** (a) HRTEM images of the gold nanocrystals, (b) UV–Vis absorption spectrum of the gold NPs. The photograph of the gold NPs aqueous solution shown in the inset of (b).
The HRTEM image of Au NPs dispersed in TiO$_2$ in the second configuration (FTO/TiO$_2$ NPs/Au NPs) are shown in Figure 7(a). It is observed that Au NPs were scattered randomly within the larger TiO$_2$ particles (about 20–25 nm). The UV-vis absorption spectra for FTO/TiO$_2$/Au NPs are shown in Figure 7(b) reveals that, the peak at 525 nm of Au NPs was superimposed on the spectrum of bare FTO/TiO$_2$. This attained result indicates the successful incorporation between the Au NPs and TiO$_2$ in the photoelectrode.

**Figure 7:** (a) HRTEM image of TiO$_2$ NPs decorated with Au NPs, (b) UV-visible absorption spectra of TiO$_2$ NPs on FTO substrate (dashed line) and TiO$_2$/Au NPs on FTO substrate (solid line).

The HRTEM of the TiO$_2$ NPs/CdSe after Au NPs decoration in the third configuration (FTO/TiO$_2$/CdSe QDs/Au NPs) is shown in Figure 8(a). The optical transmittance spectra of these layer configuration TiO$_2$ NPs/CdSe after Au NPs deposition are shown in Figure 8(b), with an absorption that is a combination of the surface Plasmon Au NPs and CdSe QDs.

**Figure 8:** HRTEM image of TiO$_2$/CdSe QDs/Au nanoparticles. (b) Transmittance spectra of TiO$_2$/CdSe QDs/Au nanoparticles.

The ($J$–$V$) curves of the three different configurations of the fabricated solar cells with Au NPs and without Au NPs are shown in Figure 9. The observed ($V_{oc}$), ($J_{sc}$), ($FF$) and $PCE$ are listed in Table 3. It is observed that, enhancements in the overall photovoltaic performance for all assembled devices were achieved by the
employment of Au NPs. The energy levels of FTO, Au, TiO₂ and CdSe QDs are schematically shown in Figure 10.

![Figure 9: Photocurrent density–voltage curves of all fabricated CdSe QDSSC.](image)

Table 3: Parameters obtained from the $J$–$V$ curves of Au NPs decorated on photoanodes for CdSe QDSSCs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration of solar cells</th>
<th>$J_{SC}$ (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>$V_{OC}$ (mV)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTO+TiO₂+CdSe QDs</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTO/Au NPs/TiO₂/CdSe QDs</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTO/TiO₂/Au NPs/CdSe QDs</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTO/TiO₂/CdSe QDs/Au NPs</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10. shows a schematic representation of the energy diagrams of the three photoanodes that employ Au NPs interfacial layer. The $E_{CB}$ of TiO₂ is -4.3 eV, the work function ($\phi$) of Au NPs is nearly -5.1 eV, which
lower than $F_E$ of conducting FTO (-4.4 eV) (vacuum level) [16]. In configuration (i) as shown in Figure 10(a), the $F_E$ of FTO can be modified to a lower energy due to the contact between Au NPs and FTO. The Au NPs work function create intermediate energy levels between TiO$_2$ and FTO/ Au NPs, that leads to easily transfer of electron from TiO$_2$ to FTO via Au NPs layer. Therefore, the presence of Au NPs layer on FTO enhances ($J_{sc}$) which is increased from 2.4 mA/cm$^2$ to 4.4 mA/cm$^2$. Also, this intermediate level is able to suppress the charge recombination through reducing back-transport reaction between the electrolyte and FTO layer which improve the $V_{oc}$ from to 460 mV to 476 mV, and in turn the PCE is improved from 0.62% to 1.1% as could be seen in Table 3.

![Figure 10](image)

**Figure 10**: The energy diagram showing the alignment of the $E_{cb}$ and $E_{vb}$ of TBAI capped CdSe QDs and decorated with Au NPs on photoanodes for the three configuration (all data shown are vs. vacuum).

In configuration (ii), as shown in Figure 10(b), when Au NPs are deposited on TiO$_2$ this will create two Schottky barriers on the TiO$_2$ and CdSe QDs interfaces. This band bending creates an energy barrier, which promotes the photoexcited electrons in the Au NPs to be transferred effectively to the conduction band of the TiO$_2$, and a significant amount of the electrons generated in CdSe QDs and injected directly through the Au NPs will also reach the TiO$_2$ layer. The suggested design in configuration (ii) increases the $J_{sc}$ is from 2.4 mA/cm$^2$ to 3.2 mA/cm$^2$ compared to the conventional design without Au NPs. Likewise $V_{oc}$ which is controlled by the difference between the chemical potential of the polysulfide electrolyte and the CB of TiO$_2$, and is dependent on the external electric field created by the Au Plasmon field. The presence of the surface Plasmon modes provides a strong external electric field which enhances the $V_{oc}$ from 460 mV to 508 mV.

In configuration (iii) as shown in Figure 10(c), Only CdSe QDs can be excited to generate electron - hole pairs. Since the Fermi level of Au NPs and the CB of TiO$_2$ are both lower than the CB of CdSe QDs, the photoelectrons created in the CdSe QDs will be capable to transfer along two ways; to the Au NPs and to the CB of TiO$_2$. A larger friction of electrons in the CB of CdSe QDs would flow into Au NPs more easily, because
Fermi level of Au NPs is lower than the CB of TiO\textsubscript{2}. Meanwhile, a Schottky barrier at the interface of Au NPs-CdSe QDs will be formed, which can prevent the electrons to flow back to CdSe QDs from Au NPs. It is also reported that the electrons accumulated in the CB of TiO\textsubscript{2} may lead to a negative shift of the Fermi level. Therefore, fewer electrons accumulated in TiO\textsubscript{2}, would result in a less negative shift of the Fermi level, leading to a slight increase in \textit{Voc} from 460 mV to 471 mV [32]. The surface Plasmon electric field in Au NPs and the electron in the CB of CdSe QDs lead to a slight increase in \textit{Jsc} from 2.4 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2} to 2.8 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}, so a slight increase in PCE from 0.62\% to 0.65\% as shown in Table 3.

4. Conclusions

The colloidal CdSe quantum dots (QDs) was synthesized using the organometallic method. A \textit{PCE} of 0.62\% was obtained from TBAI capped CdSe QDSSC that is much higher than 0.07\% obtained from TOPO, HDA capped CdSe QDs device. The CdSe-QDSSCs modified with TBAI showed a large improvement photovoltaic performance and discussed in terms of atomic ligand and surface state of CdSe QDs. Au NPs with the average size of 15 nm was introduced to photoanodes QDSSCs via three configurations, on FTO substrate, in between TiO\textsubscript{2} NPs and CdSe QDs, and on the surface of CdSe QDs. The results show that the \textit{PCE} by using Au NPs directly on the FTO substrate is 1.1\% under one sun illumination, which is 60\% higher than FTO/TiO\textsubscript{2}/CdSe QDs cell 0.62 \% without Au NPs. From the investigation of energy level alignments for all configurations, the Fermi level \textit{F}_E of FTO could be modify to a lower energy by the contact between Au NPs and FTO which positively reflected on the QDSSC performance.
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