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Abstract

Round Robin scheduling is mostly used CPU scheduling algorithm; it gives better result in comparison to other scheduling algorithm. But this algorithm may lead many problems directly related to time quantum. If selected time quantum is large, then the response time of the processes may be too high. On the other hand, if time quantum is short, it increases the number of context switch which may lead overhead of the CPU. In this paper, we propose a new algorithm, called SJFDERR, based on a new approach called dynamic-time-quantum; the idea of this approach is to make the CPU Scheduler arrange the process in ascending order on the burst time and assign the system priority and calculate a smart priority factor ‘SPF’ for each process. The process having the smallest ‘SPF’ value will be scheduled first. The time quantum is calculated dynamically. Based on the analysis, we show that the new proposed algorithm (SJFDERR) solves the fixed time quantum problem and increases the performance of Round Robin.

Keywords: Operating Systems; Multi Tasking; Scheduling Algorithm; Time Quantum; Round Robin.

* Corresponding author.
1. Introduction

Today operating system are more complex, they are working on multitasking environment in which process are executed concurrently. So CPU scheduling is internal part of operating system design. When more than one process are ready to execute in ready queue then operating system decide using scheduler (which uses scheduling algorithm) which process will be executed. There are several scheduling algorithm available as FCFS (First Come First Serve), SJF (Shortest Job First), Priority Scheduling and RR (Round Robin) scheduling algorithm. These scheduling Algorithms are used to minimize the turnaround time, response time, waiting time and no of context switching. There are some scheduling criteria, on the basis of these criteria we analysis and determine which scheduling algorithm is best.

2. Scheduling Criteria

There are many CPU scheduling algorithms having different properties, and the selection of a particular algorithm may favor one class of processes over another. The algorithm is selected for a particular state; we must judge properties of a verity of algorithms. The criteria contain the following [1, 2, 3, 4]:

- **Context Switch**: A context switch occur when a process interrupt the normal execution sequence of another process. The CPU stores all relevant information of interrupted process in Task Control Box (TCB). The context switch includes wastage of time, memory and scheduling overhead. So scheduling algorithm is designed in such way that it can minimize the number of context switches.
- **Throughput**: This term is defined as number of process completed per unit time. So scheduling algorithm is designed in such way that it can maximize the throughput.
- **CPU Utilization**: From the performance wise concern the CPU cannot be sit ideal. So scheduling algorithm is designed in such way that it can maximum use of CPU as possible.
- **Turnaround Time**: It is the difference in the time of process when a process is ready to execute and when it complete its execution. So scheduling algorithm is designed in such way that it can minimize the turnaround time.
- **Waiting Time** it is the sum of all waiting done by a process in ready queue for execution. So scheduling algorithm is designed in such way that it can minimize the waiting time.
- **Response Time**: Response time is the time it takes to start its execution not the time it takes to output the response.

3. Scheduling Algorithm

There exist different Scheduling algorithms, each of them has advantages and disadvantages and as follows:

**First-Come-First-Served (FCFS)** FCFS is simple scheduling algorithm in which process are executed on the basis of their arrival time in ready queue. This scheduling algorithm is non preemptive in nature. The disadvantages of this algorithm is long waiting time, response time for high priority process.

**Shortest-Job-First (SJF)** In this algorithm the process which have minimum CPU burst time will schedule
first. This algorithm can be implemented in two ways: preemptive and another one is non-preemptive. This is also known as Shortest Remaining Time first (SRTF). This algorithm may lead a problem that we cannot predict how long a job will executed.

**Priority Scheduling** In this algorithm, the process which has priority among the processes will schedule first. This algorithm may lead a problem of starvation which is defined as if high priority processes are regularly available in ready queue then waiting time for low priority may become infinite.

**Round Robin (RR)** algorithm which is the main concern of this research is one of the oldest, simplest, and most widely used scheduling algorithms. This algorithm works on time sharing phenomenon. A time slice is given to every process and every process will execute for a particular defined time slice.

New processes are added to at the last of ready queue. The scheduler pick the process from the starting point of the ready queue and set the timer to a defined time slice and also set an interrupt. If the process still not completed its complete execution within a time slice it will be preempted after a time slice and added at the end of ready queue.

The round robin scheduling give the better response time, minimize waiting time and turnaround time, maximize throughput and CPU utilization [1, 2].

**4. Literature Survey**

**A. Round Robin Scheduling**

The simple RR scheduling algorithm is defined by following steps [1, 2]:-

1. The scheduler contains a queue of ready processes and a list of blocked and swapped out Processes.
2. The Task Control Box (TCB) of newly created process is added to end of ready queue.
3. When executing process finishes its slice, it is moved to end of ready queue.
4. Define the Time quantum for which the processes will be allocated to the CPU

In this we have to consider the processes only with CPU burst time and also let round robin quantum =5

**Table I: processes with cpu burst time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Id</th>
<th>CPU Burst Time(ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RR quantum=5

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
P1 & P2 & P3 & P4 & P5 & P1 & P2 & P3 & P4 & P1 & P2 & P1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 1: Gantt chart for Round Robin Scheduling

Gantt chart:

No of context switches=13

Average waiting Time=34 ms

Average turnaround Time=46.8ms

There are many researcher give many improved round robin algorithm. So the literature survey is presented

B. In 2009 Rami J.matarneh proposed a Self-Adjustment Time Quantum in Round Robin Algorithm Depending on Burst Time of the Now Running Processes [3] in which he has defined a technique for calculating optimal time quantum by calculating median for the set of processes in ready queue. He is also defined the minimum time quantum which is 25. It is described as if the calculated median is less than 25 then the time quantum will be 25 otherwise it will be equal to calculated median. This is done for minimize the number of context switches. The median is calculated by an equation defined below:

\[
\bar{x} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{Y_{n+1}}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\
\frac{1}{2}(\frac{Y_n}{x} + \frac{Y_{n+1}}{x}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even}
\end{cases}
\]

Where, \( Y \) is the number located in the middle of a group of numbers arranged in ascending order.

Then the optimal time quantum is calculated as

\[
Q = \begin{cases} 
\bar{x}, & \text{if } \bar{x} \geq 25 \\
25, & \text{if } \bar{x} < 25
\end{cases}
\]

Example: Assume four processes arrived at time = 0, with burst time (P1 = 20, P2 = 40, P3 = 60, P4 = 80) as shown in Table 2.

part (a) in Table 1 shows the output using classical approach, while part (b) in Table II shows the output using new proposed method. Figure 2 shows Gantt chart for part (a) and Figure 3 show Gantt chart for part (b).
Table II: comparison between fixed and dynamic time quantum in round robin algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival time</th>
<th>Burst time</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival time</th>
<th>Burst time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part (a), with static Q = 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part (b), with dynamic Q = 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time quantum</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>Time quantum</td>
<td>50,25,25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnaround time</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td>Turnaround time</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting time</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waiting time</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context switching</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Context switching</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Gantt chart for part (a) in Table II

Figure 3: Gantt chart for part (b) in Table II

C. In 2011 Rakesh Mohanty, Manas Das, M. Lakshmi Prasanna, Sudhashree proposed fittest job first dynamic round robin (FJFDRR) scheduling algorithm [5]. In this paper Rakesh Mohanty has calculated a factor f for every process. The process which has smallest f value will be schedule first. The factor f is calculated on the basis of given user priority (UP), system priority (SP) which is assigned as lowest burst time
has highest priority, user weight (UW which is chosen as 60%) and system weight (SW which is chosen as 40%). The factor f is calculated as

\[ f = UP \times UW + SP \times BW \quad \text{Eq (5)} \]

The dynamic time quantum is calculated as

\[ TQ = \text{median (remaining burst time of all the processes)} \]

He has calculated that his algorithm fittest job first dynamic round robin (FJDFRR) scheduling algorithm given better result in comparison to priority based static round robin scheduling (PBSSR).

**D. In 2012 Manish Kumar Mishra, Abdul Kadir Khan proposed An Improved Round Robin CPU Scheduling Algorithm (IRR) [15].** In this paper Manish Kumar Mishra propose an improved round robin scheduling algorithm (IRR) in which scheduler select the process from ready queue and allocate CPU for 1 time quantum. If the remaining burst time of currently running process is less than 1 time quantum then allocate CPU again for currently running process for remaining burst time otherwise the currently running process add at the end of ready queue.

They have observed that their algorithm improved round robin scheduling algorithm (IRR) scheduling algorithm given better result in comparison to simple round robin scheduling (RR).

**E. In 2014 M. Ramakrishna, G.Pattabhi Rama Rao proposed Efficient Round Robin CPU Scheduling Algorithm for Operating Systems [18].** In this paper they have presented an efficient round robin CPU scheduling algorithm in which they have executed their algorithm in two steps. First they have arrange all the process in ascending order of the burst time and assign the priority to each process in the manner that lowest burst time process have assigned the highest priority and highest burst time assigned the lowest priority. Second after the completion of first cycle all the process are arranged in ascending order of their remaining burst time and assign the priority in the manner that lowest remaining burst time has assigned highest priority and highest remaining burst time assigned lowest priority.

They compared their algorithm efficient round robin CPU scheduling algorithm with simple round robin and found that their algorithm gives better result in comparison to RR scheduling.

**F. In 2014 Manish Kumar Mishra, Dr. Faizur Rashid proposed An Improved Round Robin Scheduling Algorithm with Varying Time Quantum [17].** In this paper they have arranged all the process in the ascending order their burst time. Schedule the process in Round Robin manner with assigned time quantum is equal to the first process in ready queue. After the completion of first cycle again processes are arranged in ascending order of their remaining burst time and allocate the time quantum is equal to the burst time of first process.

They compared their algorithm improved round robin CPU scheduling algorithm with varying time quantum with simple round robin and found that their algorithm gives better result in comparison to RR scheduling.
G. In 2014 Dr. R. Rama Kishore, Arpana Saxena proposed An Efficient Multi Parametric CPU Scheduling Algorithm for Single Processor Systems [16]. In this approach first they have assigned a factor BT to each process as shortest burst time process assign highest value of BT and highest burst time process assign lowest value of BT. Second they have assigned a factor ATT to each process as shortest arrival time process assign highest value of ATT and highest arrival time process assign lowest value of ATT. Third they defined a precedence factor f which is calculated as

\[ PF = (\text{priority} \times 0.8) + (\text{Burst Time} \times 0.7) + (\text{arrival time} \times 0.2) \]  

Eq(6)

Then all the processes are arranged in the ascending order of calculated precedence factor PF. The shorter PF value process will schedule first with the time quantum which is calculated as

\[ \text{Time Quantum (TQ)} = \frac{n}{1/t_{q1} + 1/t_{q2} + 1/t_{q3} + \ldots + 1/t_{qn}} \]  

Eq(7)

Where \( t_{qi} \) is burst time of \( i^{th} \) process.

H. In 2014 Abdulzaraq Abdulrahim, Salisu Aliyu, Ahmad M Mustapha, Saleh E Abdullahi proposed An Additional Improvement in Round Robin (AAIRR) CPU Scheduling Algorithm [19] in which scheduler select the process from ready queue and allocate CPU for 1 time quantum. If the remaining burst time of currently running process is less than or Equal to time quantum then allocate CPU again for currently running process for remaining burst time otherwise the currently running process add at the end of ready queue.

They have observed that their algorithm An Additional improved round robin scheduling algorithm (AAIRR) scheduling algorithm given better result in comparison to simple round robin scheduling (RR) and Improved Round Robin (IRR) Algorithm.

I. In 2015 Rahul Joshi, Sashi Bhushan Tyagi Proposed Smart Optimized Round Robin (SORR) CPU Scheduling Algorithm [20] in which they have arranged all the process in ascending order of their burst time. Then they have calculated the smart time quantum as follows

(a) First they have calculated mean of burst time of all the processes.

(b) Second the smart time quantum (STQ) is calculated as follows:

\[ \text{STQ} = \frac{(\text{Mean} + \text{Highest burst time})}{2} \]

Then they have schedule the process with smart time quantum (STQ).

They have observed that their algorithm Smart Optimized Round Robin (SORR) CPU Scheduling Algorithm scheduling algorithm given better result in comparison to simple round robin scheduling (RR), Improved Round Robin (IRR) Algorithm and an Additional Improved Round Robin (AAIRR) Algorithm.

J. In 2015 Muhammad Akhtar and his colleagues. proposed An Optimized Shortest job first Scheduling
Algorithm for CPU Scheduling [21] in which they have defined hybrid of two algorithms which are shortest job first (SJF) and remaining burst time of processes. In the proposed architecture first all the processes will arranged in ascending order of their burst time. The process which has shortest burst time will get highest priority and it will be schedule first and the process which has maximum burst time will get lowest priority and it will schedule last. They have schedule the process in RR manner with time quantum k units. The newly arrived process will pre-empt the currently running process if the burst time of newly arrived process is less than half of remaining burst time of currently running process. Otherwise the currently running cannot be pre-empted by newly arrived process. They have analyzed their proposed algorithm with simple RR algorithm and SRTF Algorithm and found better result in terms of decreasing average waiting time, average turnaround time than simple RR and SRTF Algorithm.

5. Proposed Work

In proposed plan of work, **first** we will calculate a smart priority factor ‘SPF’ is for every process. The process which has smallest ‘SPF’ value will be scheduled first. In this work every process has two types of priority one is user priority which is given by user itself (PRU) and second is the system priority which is defined by scheduling system in such a way that lowest burst time has highest system priority (PRS). The two important factors are also taken for calculating smart priority factor (SPF) which is user priority ratio (UPR) and system priority ratio (SPR). The user priority has more importance so the user priority ratio is given 55% weight and system priority ratio is given 45% weight. Suppose that all the processes has arrived at same time i.e. arrival time = 0. Then Smart Priority Factor ‘SPF’ is calculated as

\[
SPF = PRU*UPR + PRS*SPR \quad \text{---------Eq}(8)
\]

So we calculate SPF for every process and decide which process will schedule first on the basis of SPF value.

**Second** we will calculate smart time quantum (STQ) which is calculated as

(a) First we will median for the set of processes in ready queue by given formula as given below

\[
\text{Median}(M) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{Y_{n+1}}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\
\frac{Y_{\frac{n}{2}} + Y_{\frac{n}{2}+1}}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even}
\end{cases} \quad \text{Eq}(8)
\]

Where, \( M = \text{median} \)

\( Y = \text{number located in the middle of a group of numbers arranged in ascending order of burst time} \)

\( n = \text{number of processes} \)

(b) Then, the smart time quantum is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Smart Time Quantum (STQ)} = (B_{\text{max}} + M) / 2 \quad \text{---(Eq10)}
\]
Where $B_{t_{\text{max}}}$ is maximum burst time among all the processes in the ready queue.

The smart time quantum is assigned to each process and is recalculated taking the remaining burst time in account after each cycle. This procedure goes on until the ready queue is empty.

Case: We assume five processes arriving at $t = 0$, with increasing burst time ($P_1 = 9$, $P_2 = 15$, $P_3 = 27$, $P_4 = 43$, $P_5 = 82$) as shown in Table-III. The Table-IV shows the output using FJFDRR algorithm and our new proposed algorithm (SJFDRR). Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 show Gantt chart for both the algorithms respectively.

**Table III:** processes with burst time and priority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
<th>User Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Gantt chart:**

![Gantt Chart Using FJFDRR](image)

**Figure 4:** Gantt Chart Using FJFDRR

![Gantt Chart Using SJFDRR](image)

**Figure 5:** Gantt Chart Using SJFDRR

**Table IV:** comparison between FJFDRR and SJFDRR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Time Quantum</th>
<th>Avg.TAT</th>
<th>Avg.WT</th>
<th>CS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FJFDRR</td>
<td>27,35,20</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJFDRR</td>
<td>54.5,27.5</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Simulation Result

The researcher has designed a simulator in .net Framework which gives the comparison result of FJFDRR and SJFDRR with smart time quantum. Here in this paper researcher has included some result on randomly generated process in figure 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11. The no of process is taken 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 50. The Figure 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and 17 shows the comparison graph. The simulation result gives that SJFDRR with smart time quantum is perform better in terms of decreasing the number of context switches, average waiting time and average turnaround time.

![Figure 6: Simulation Result with no of Process=5](image1)

![Figure 7: Simulation Result with no of Process=10](image2)

![Figure 8: Simulation Result with no of Process=15](image3)

![Figure 9: Simulation Result with no of Process=20](image4)
**Figure 10:** Simulation Result with no of Process=25  
**Figure 11:** Simulation Result with no of Process=50  

**Figure 12:** Comparison graph for No of process=5  
**Figure 13:** Comparison graph for No of process=10  

**Figure 14:** Comparison graph for No of process=15  
**Figure 15:** Comparison graph for No of process=20
7. Conclusion and Recommendation

From the analysis and simulation, it is found that our proposed algorithm SJFDRR (smart Job First Dynamic Round Robin) performs better than the FJFDRR in terms of decreasing the number of context switches, average waiting time and average turnaround time. But this work limited only for when arrival time of all process are zero. So the enhancement will in this algorithm first: research work for use different arrival time for the processes. Second if priority of process taking in to consideration than there will be a problem of starvation. So the research work will be focused on these two problems.
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